Dinh TRAN NGOC HUY

Banking University, HCMC, Viet Nam - Graduate School of International Management, International University of Japan, Niigata, Japan

THE CRITICAL THINKING OF SOME WESTERN EUROPEAN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STANDARDS AFTER FINANCIAL CRISIS, CORPORATE SCANDALS AND MANIPULATION

Case study

Keywords

Corporate governance standards
Board structure
Code of best practice
Financial crisis
Corporate scandals
Market manipulation
Internal audit

JEL Classification G30

Abstract

After the recent global crisis, corporate scandals and bankruptcy in US and Europe, there is some certain evidence on weak auditing, risk management, accounting and audit system. This paper chooses a different analytical approach and among its aims is to give some systematic opinions on corporate governance criteria as a benchmark for stock markets.

Firstly, it classifies limited Western European representative corporate governance (CG) standards into two (2) groups: The Netherlands and Belgium latest CG principles covered in group 1 and, group 2, including corporate governance principles from Italy and Austria, so-called relative good CG group, while it uses OECD and ICGN principles as reference. Secondly, it identifies through analysis the differences and advantages between the above set of standards which are and have been used as reference principles for many relevant organizations.

Third, it establishes a selected comparative set of standards for Western European representative corporate governance system in accordance to international standards. Last but not least, this paper covers some ideas and policy suggestions.

1. Introduction

After corporate scandals happening during and after global monetary and financial crises, it is necessary to re-evaluate the code of corporate governance. In reality, many nations re-issue their principles of corporate governance as guidelines for their market and companies. This paper selects an easy-reading writing style, and it finds out that there are still some academic words that need to be explained in further. The organization of paper contents is organized as following. As our previous series of paper, Research literature and theories are covered in the first two sessions. Next, it is followed introduction of our research methodology in session 3 (3rd). Continuously, session four (4) covers our familiar four (4) groups of empirical findings. And our conclusion and policy suggestion is covered in the fifth (5th) session. Before last, there is an exhibit session which covers some summary of this paper's analysis and comparison. And lastly, a glossary note is provided with information for reference of because reducing repeating terminology.

2. Body of Manuscript

Research literature review

There are many and controversial opinions on corporate governance theories and Jensen practices. For example, and Meckling (1976)presented conceptual agency theory on the separation of ownership and management. Belgian Code 2009 stated that corporate governance is a set of rules and behaviours which determine how companies are managed and controlled.

Besides, Thailand 2006 Code defines CG as a set of structures and processes of the relationship between a firm's board of directors, its MGT and its shareholders to boost the firm's competitiveness, growth and long-term shareholder value taking into account the interests of other

stakeholders. Malaysian Code on CG 2012 defines CG as the process and structure used to direct and manage the business and affairs of the company towards enhancing business prosperity and corporate accountability with the ultimate objective of realizing long-term shareholder value.

Additionally, Berger et al (2013) found out that during the financial crisis, bank defaults are strongly influenced by a bank's ownership structure.

Generally, CG refers to the set of systems, processes and structures in which the company is governed.

Because there are not many researches and surveys done in Western European region on CG, next, what is the limited comparative standardized set of so-called comparative Western European corporate governance standards?

Theory of Corporate Governance, Scandal and Market Manipulation Theory of manipulation

Market manipulation covers errors in the interfering of the market operation and creates false information on price or market for a financial commodity such as stock.

Aggarwal and Wu (2003) suggested a strong role for government regulation to discourage manipulation encouraging greater competition for information. And more illiquid stocks are more likely to be manipulated and manipulation increases stock volatility. Imisiker and Tas (2011) presented from Istanbul stock exchange, small firms, firms with less free float rate and a higher leverage ratio are more prone to stock price manipulation.

Theory of corporate governance and financial crisis

In 2008, OECD also stated that the financial crisis revealed severe shortcomings in corporate governance.

Winter (2011) pointed that after the governance crisis 2001-2003 and the regulatory response through the Sarbanes

Oxley Act and the European CG codes, the financial crisis has revealed persistent problems in financial governance institutions relating to executives, non executives and shareholders. Also, Ezzine and Olivero (2013) found out that effective governance mechanisms enhance ability of firms to absorb a stock market crisis. Guota et al (2013) pointed that there is the lack of significant impact of governance corporate quality performance. And well governed firms do not outperform poorly governed firms.

Hence, we can see, there exist various views on corporate governance and its importance.

Research methodology

Firstly, we analyze and compare corporate governance principles in each of the two (2) different groups including: 1) Group 1 – Limited Western European CG representative standards including Belgian Code 2009 and The Netherlands Corporate Governance Principles; and 2) Group 2 - Relatively good corporate governance group including Italian Code and Austrian CG principles;

Secondly, we also use international standards of corporate governance such as: ICGN and OECD Corporate Governance Principles and Enhancing Banking CG Code 1999 which have many modifications in corporate governance principles after the crisis period.

Then, we propose what so-called limited comparative Western European corporate governance principles which are aiming to create a basic background for relevant corporations interesting in different aspects of corporate governance subjects and functions as the recommendation to relevant countries' government and other relevant organizations for public policy and necessary evaluation.

Last but not least, for a summary of our standards, see Exhibit and the below table 1 and 2 in relevant sessions.

Empirical findings

A- Findings on Corporate governance issues after financial crisis, corporate scandals and market manipulation

Some certain popular issues include: the roles and duties of CEO and senior management.

Also, we can find out another corporate governance (CG) issue, it is the lack of descriptions of an effective risk management system.

B- Findings on Ways of Manipulation during Corporate Scandals

Several Manipulation Techniques were found out during corporate scandals, but not limited to:

B.1 – The manipulation techniques in the income statement:

In Waste Management Scandal (1998), a Houston publicly traded waste management firm, there is a report of \$1.7 b in fake income.

B.2 - The manipulation techniques in both the income statement and balance sheet:

In the case of Refco financial service company, based in New York, entered the crisis and Chapter 11 in 2005 when its CEO had concealed \$430 b in bad debts.

B.3 - The manipulation techniques relevant to international accounting practice code:

In the scandal case of Global Crossing Ltd., a telecommunication firm, there is a failure in using international accounting standards (GAAP) in making financial reports. The company did not fairly present financial statements.

B.4 - Other manipulation techniques net belong to above classifications:

In some scandals, there is management manipulation which causes the loss of investments. In the case of Worldcom, a telecomm scandal, directors have used false accounting methods to manipulate stock prices after falling.

C- Actions on Preventing or Controlling negative manipulation

Among necessary actions to control negative market manipulation are, but not limited to, the mechanism of the board and committees aiming to effectiveness and transparency with Accounting and investors. financial reporting process are also taken into account.

D- Findings on Construction of Comparative Western European Corporate Governance Standards

These findings will be shown in a detailed analysis of a model indicated in the later sessions.

<D.1> - Group 1 - Limited Western European Corporate Governance standards analysis

The Belgium Code of Corporate Governance 2009

The Belgian Code 2009 has been modified from its first version in 2004.

Among its advantages are, but not limited to, CG charter and CG statement. CG statement of the annual report covers a description of operation of committees, whereas CG charter includes direct or indirect relationship of the firm and major shareholders.

Generally speaking, The Code focuses on Board, Committees and disclosure of remuneration policy of executive managers mentioned in the CG statement. (see Exhibit 1).

The Netherlands Corporate Governance Principles

The Netherlands Best practices 2006 cover some major CG matters such as board roles and risk management.

Different from other European Codes, one of its distinctions is clarifying roles of supervisory board (SB). For example, SB should meet regularly and retain effective control over the firm.

For more information, please see Exhibit 2. However, it would be better to mention guidelines of CEO roles.

Comparison between the Belgian and the Netherlands Corporate Governance Principles

A lot of information on roles of Board is in the Belgian Code 2009. For example, it is pointed that the Board ensures the integrity and timely disclosure of the firm F.S, but it would be better to give guidelines for a compliance officer. (see Exhibit 1).

On the other hand, the Netherlands Code stated roles of SB in ensuring appropriate plans and policies for the firm. Besides, it also oversees business performance.

<D.2> -Group 2 – Relative Good Corporate governance group analysis

Italian 2002 Corporate Governance standards analysis:

Good recommendations involved the description of IC system. For example, the firm appoints a person who runs the IC system and report to IC committee, MD, board of auditors.

Besides, it makes a sound point to suggest that IC committee reports to BD every 6 months on the adequacy of the IC system.

A minor point that might be noted here is that it does not describe well the roles of compliance officer; please refer to the Exhibit 3.

In summary, the 2002 Code and supported documents paid well attention to Board and IC committee.

Austrian Code of Corporate Governance standards analysis:

In the 2012 Code, we recognized that it pays attention to Supervisory Board roles. For example, the SB needs at least 3 members. And it also mentions disclosure of fixed and variable remuneration for each individual of MGT board and SB.

And among its advantages is that it mentions general information on GM published on website. Please refer to Exhibit 4.

On the other hand, it has a disadvantage as it does not describe well CEO roles.

Comparison between the Italian and Austrian Corporate Governance Principles

First of all, there is a focus in the 2012 Austrian CG Code setting itself a benchmark for corporations. Moreover, it states roles of SB and criteria for SB members such as professional experience and independence.

Beside, The Italian Code of Good Corporate Governance 2006 pointed that the Board is in charge of examining transactions with significant impacts on profits, assets and liabilities. It also makes good points of the board role in verifying controls to monitor performance of the firm.

The 1st Establishment of so-called relatively Good Corporate Governance standards

This following table is built with the summary of above Commonwealth CG standards.

D.3- The 1st Establishment of so-called limited comparative Western European Corporate Governance standards

Comparison of corporate governance standards between<D.1> and <D.2> group

Before we come to set up a set of general limited standards of corporate governance, we need to review the standards combined in the previous two (2) groups

The advantages of Group 1 consist of, but not limited to, the roles of AC in reviewing the relevance of accounting standards used by firm (see Table 1 and 2). On the contrary, the relative Good Corporate Governance Group standard states well and focuses on the role of Supervisory board (SB). For example, it also mentions w/o SB approval, MGT board member cannot run a business (see 2012 Austrian Code). Last but not least, Italian Code presents the role of GM as the meeting to provide shareholders with information while

complying with the procedure relating price-sensitive information.

A so-called Limited Comparative Western European Corporate Governance Set of standards

Based on the above analysis, we consider building comparative standards for a comparative Western European Corporate Governance system.

Conclusion

To overcome weaknesses from CG during crises, The Belgian Code 2009 suggested Board roles are important skills, the experience and knowledge of a new board candidate should be evaluated (see Exhibit 1).

Besides, the Netherlands Code included guidelines for supervisory board (SB) including an orientation and education program for new members of SB.

In consideration of corporate governance issues analyzed in the previous sessions, we proposed the main and sub quality factors in this paper a set of general comparative Western European corporate governance standards in a limited model with selected countries. Though limited, it has some implications further research and recommendations to relevant government and organizations. And it also provides relevant academic and non-academic, lawyer and consultant, board and nonboard people with minimum information for further researches.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allen, F., and Gale, D., (1992), Stock Price Manipulation, *Review of Financial Studies*
- [2] Bellouma, Meryem., (2012), Governance Mechanisms and Buyer Supplier Relationship in Tunisian Exporting SMEs, *International Journal of Corporate Governance*
- [3] Campa, Domenico., and Donnelly, Ray., (2013), Board Structure and Monitoring Effects in Different Institutional Settings: A Comparison between Italy and the UK, International Journal of Corporate Governance
- [4] Dallas, Lynne., (2011), Short-Termism, The Financial Crisis and Corporate Governance, *Journal of Corporation Law*

- [5] Essen, Marc., Engelen, Peter., and Carney, Michael., (2013), Does "Good" Corporate Governance Help in A Crisis? The Impact of Country and Firm Level Governance Mechanisms in the European Financial Crisis, Corporate Governance: An International Review
- [6] IFRs and US GAPP, Deloitte, 2007.
- [7] Khwaja, Asim Ijaz., Mian, Atif., (2005), Unchecked intermediaries:Price manipulation

- in an emerging stock market, *Journal of Financial Economics* 78 (2005) 243-241
- [8] Niu, Flora., (20008), Director Cash Compensation, Ownership Structure and Director Pay for Performance, *International Journal of Corporate Governance*
- [9] OECD Corporate Governance Guidelines, *OECD*, 1999
- [10] www.iasplus.com
- [11] www.cbc.to
- [12] www.cii.org/corp_govenance.asp

Exhibit
Exhibit 1 – The 2009 Belgian Code of Corporate Governance (a short summary evaluation)

Subjects or parties	Main quality factors	Sub quality factors	Responsibilities	Objectives	Note
Audit committee	Chairman of the board should not be chair of AC; at least 1 with accounting and auditing expertise;	Review IA function; Set up by board;	Terms mentioned in CG charter; New AC members provided with IC, RM, operational, financial, accounting and auditing information;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Nomination committee	Assist board on nomination and planning of CEO; majority comprises independent NEDs;	Set up by board; has remuneration report; with basic, variable remuneration, pension;	Terms mentioned in CG charter; recommend suitable candidates for board; recommends appointment CEO; meet at least twice a year;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Compensation, Remuneration committee	Meet at least twice a year;	Set up by board; remuneration to attract, retain, motivate directors;	Terms mentioned in CG charter; make proposals to board;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
CEO /President/MD	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Join meetings of remuneration committee;	Clear division of duties b.t running the board and running firm business;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
The Chair	Promote effective interaction b.t executive MGT and board;	Take necessary measures to develop a climate of trust;	Should not be the same CEO; ensure directors receive accurate, clear and timely information; ensure all directors make knowledgeable contributions to board discussion;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
CEO and The Chair relationship	Chairman fully respect executive responsibilities of CEO;	Chairman supports, advises CEO; division of duties clarified;	Chairman establishes close relationship with CEO;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Corporate Secretary	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Board describes roles and tasks of secretary;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Compliance officer	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Board of Directors	Independent (at least 3 NEDs)	One tier board; pay attention to CSR, gender and diversity;	Terms mentioned in CG charter; adapts its governance structure to evolving needs; ensure financial and HR resource in place;	Ensure effective monitor and control;	
Executive/Rep resentative director	Independency of judgement and objectivity in making board	In board;	Provide all business and financial information to board;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	

	decisions;				
Non-executive director	Independency of judgement and objectivity in making board decisions;	In board; ½ board comprises NED;	Help develop strategies and key policies proposed by executive MGT; meet at least once a year w/o CEO and other EDs;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Independent director	Independency of judgement and objectivity in making board decisions;	Not an executive member of board;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
CFO	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	AC decides when CFO, CEO attend meeting;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Management team (Senior Administration	Board decides structure, powers, duties of MGT;	Careful MGT of potential risks; executive MGT include all EDs;	Board reviews executive MGT performance;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Supervisory for the board	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Proper supervision of conformity;	Directors have access to independent professional advice;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Supervisory for the managers	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Acts by board;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Internal control	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	A framework of IC set by executive MGT approved by board;	Main features written in CG statement; executive MGT set IC systems to assess, identify, manage risks;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Internal or statutory audit	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Guaranteed free access to board;	Board supervises IA function;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
External audit	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Guaranteed free access to board;	Comment on the true and fair view of the firm assets and liabilities, financial positions; report AC key matters of FS;	In accordance with financial reporting framework in Belgium;	
Disclosure and transparency	Executive MGT prepare firm disclosure of F.S;	Through CG charter and CG statement;	Allow effective external monitoring;	A high level of transparency; promote effective dialogue with (potential) shareholders;	
Shareholders and Minority Stockholder	A website section describes their rights to join GM;	Respect rights of minority shareholders;	Controlling shareholders can appoint representatives to the board; Board ensures its obligations to shareholders are met;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Accountability	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Demands on business	Executive MGT accountable to board for the	Not mentioned	

		leaders;	discharge of its duties;	clearly in the	
				<u>code;</u>	
Leadership	Not mentioned	Chairman	Board provides	Not	
	clearly in the code;	responsible for	entrepreneur leadership;	<u>mentioned</u>	
		leadership of		clearly in the	
		board;		<u>code;</u>	
Employee	Not mentioned	AC decides	At GM, directors answer	<u>Not</u>	
	clearly in the code;	whether senior	questions which do not	mentioned	
		employee join	prejudice firm, employee,	clearly in the	
		meeting;	shareholders;	<u>code;</u>	
3 rd parties and	Not mentioned	Have a	Each board member avoid	Not	
conflicts of	clearly in the code;	policy;	direct and indirect conflicts	mentioned	
interests			of interest;	clearly in the	
				code;	
Code of ethics	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
(conduct)	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Group and	Not mentioned	Not	A policy for transactions	Not	
subsidiaries	clearly in the code;	mentioned	with related firms included in	mentioned	
		clearly in the	CG charter;	clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
CG Code itself	Set a proper	Ensure	Help determines firm	Create more	
	balance b.t	leadership,	goals; one-tier board model;	and faster	
	leadership,	integrity and	has principles, provisions and	transparency;	
	entrepreneurship	transparency	guidelines;	long term value	
	and performance;	in the decision		creation;	
	as well as control	making			
	and conformity;	process;			
Management	Not mentioned	<u>Not</u>	Main features of risk MGT	Not	
system	clearly in the code;	mentioned	system mentioned in CG	mentioned	
		clearly in the	statement;	clearly in the	
<u> </u>		code;		<u>code;</u>	
Note	The underlined p	art is describing so	ome more works needed to be do	ne for relevant subj	ects and
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	_		-	

Exhibit 2 – The Netherlands Code of Governance (a short summary evaluation)

Subjects or	Main quality	Sub quality	Responsibilities	Objectives	Note
parties	factors	factors	_	-	
Audit	Maintain	Direct	SB establish AC;	<u>Not</u>	
committee	professional	communicatio		mentioned	
	relationship with	n channels to		clearly in the	
	IA and EA;	IA and EA;		code;	
Nomination	Not mentioned	S.D selected	Has a nomination	Not	
committee	clearly in the code;	through a	committee of supervisory	mentioned	
		formal	directors (S.D);	clearly in the	
		process;		code;	
Compensati	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
on,	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
Remuneration		clearly in the		clearly in the	
committee		code;		code;	
CEO	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	<u>Not</u>	
/President/MD	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		<u>code;</u>		code;	
The Chair	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	

	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		<u>code;</u>	
CEO and	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
The Chair	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
relationship		clearly in the		clearly in the	
C	NI - (1	code;	Nist manufactured in	code;	
Corporate	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned	
Secretary	clearly in the code,	clearly in the	me code,	clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Compliance	Not mentioned	Not	A compliance committee	Not	
officer	clearly in the code;	mentioned	installed, or a high level	mentioned	
0111001	<u>stearly in the esact</u>	clearly in the	compliance officer	clearly in the	
		code;	appointed;	code;	
Board of	Not mentioned	Not	Adopt strategy; training	Not	
Directors	clearly in the code;	mentioned	senior MGT;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		<u>code;</u>	
Executive/R	Not mentioned	Not	SB reviews compensation	<u>Not</u>	
epresentative	clearly in the code;	mentioned	of ED, reflecting duties and	mentioned	
director		clearly in the	risks;	clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Non-	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
executive	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
director		clearly in the		clearly in the	
Indonandant	Not mentioned	code;	Not mentioned clearly in	code;	
Independent director	clearly in the code;	Not mentioned	the code;	Not mentioned	
director	cicarry in the code,	clearly in the	the code,	clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
CFO	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Managemen	Meeting for	Invited once	Supervisory board	SB ensure	
t team (Senior	objectives	a year to	develops position	competent	
Administration	developed by SB;	discuss mgt	descriptions for senior mgt	MGT on an	
) ~ .		report;	and limits to mgt duties;	ongoing basis;	
Supervisory	Approve/develo	Function	Set adequate information,	Monitor the	
for the board	p corporate	independently	documentation and reporting	operations and	
	objectives;	of mgt; appropriate	system;	firm performance;	
		structure and		performance,	
		procedures;			
Supervisory	Not mentioned	Not	SB ensures individuals	Not	
for the	clearly in the code;	mentioned	involved in daily MGT are of	mentioned	
managers		clearly in the	professional, integrity and	clearly in the	
		code;	social and moral;	code;	
Internal	Not mentioned	<u>Not</u>	Supervisory board	<u>Not</u>	
control	clearly in the code;	mentioned	responsible for the integrity	mentioned	
		clearly in the	of IC and MIS;	clearly in the	
T	NY	code;	N	code;	
Internal or	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
statutory audit	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
External	Not mentioned	code;	Not mentioned clearly in	code;	
audit	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned	the code;	Not mentioned	
audit	cicarry in the code,	clearly in the	inc code,	clearly in the	
L	1	cicary in the	I	cionity in the	

	T .				
		<u>code;</u>		code;	
Disclosure	Not mentioned	<u>Not</u>	Not mentioned clearly in	<u>Not</u>	
and	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
transparency		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		<u>code;</u>		code;	
Shareholder	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	<u>Not</u>	
s and Minority	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
Stockholder		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Accountabili	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	<u>Not</u>	
ty	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
-		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Leadership	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	<u>Not</u>	
_	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Employee	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
1 ,	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
3 rd parties	Not mentioned	Not	Unrelated supervisory	Not	
and conflicts	clearly in the code;	mentioned	director free from any of	mentioned	
of interests		clearly in the	interest;	clearly in the	
		code;	·	code;	
Code of	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
ethics	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
(conduct)		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Group and	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
subsidiaries	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
CG Code	Not mentioned	Not	SB assign committee of	Not mentioned	
itself	clearly in the code;	mentioned	S.D duties for developing	clearly in the	
		clearly in the	firm approach to CG;	code;	
		code;	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Managemen	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
t system	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
-		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Note	The underlined p		ome more works needed to be do		ects and
	/ F		parties.		
	1				

Exhibit 3 – Evaluation of Italian 2002 CG Principles

Subjects or parties	Main quality factors	Sub quality factors	Responsibilities	Objectives	Note
Audit committee	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Set the board of	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned	
Committee	cicumy in the code,	auditors, instead of	uic code,	clearly in the code;	
Nomination	Not mentioned	AC; Not	Board set a committee	Not	
committee	clearly in the code;	mentioned clearly in the	with NED to appoint directors/chairman/MD;	mentioned clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Compensati	Consist of NEDs;	Board form	Remuneration of MD; criteria	Not	

on,		it;	for remuneration of top mgt;	mentioned	
Remuneration		11,	for remaineration of top inge,	clearly in the	
committee				code;	
	NI dan and and I	MD	W		
CEO	Not mentioned	MD	Keep the board informed	Not	
/President/MD	clearly in the code;	included in	of the main regulatory	<u>mentioned</u>	
		board;	innovations in which the firm	clearly in the	
			operates; identify main risks;	code;	
The Chair	Arranging for	Included in	call the board meeting, set	Promote	
	distribution of	Board; board	agenda; coordinate activities	effective mgt of	
	information timely	delegates	of BD; ensure all directors	firm;	
	to BD;	powers to	make a knowledgeable	111111,	
	ювь,	chairman;	contribution to board		
		Chan man,			
OFFO 1	NY	27.	discussion;	NT .	
CEO and	Not mentioned	Not	BD has a chairman;	Not	
The Chair	clearly in the code;	mentioned		mentioned	
relationship		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Corporate	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
Secretary	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
J		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Compliance	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
officer	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		<u>code;</u>		<u>code;</u>	
Board of	Independence of	Meet at	Set the firm strategic,	Guarantee	As
Directors	judgement;	regular	financial objectives;	effective and	underst
		interval;	delegates power to MD;	efficient	ood
		<i>'</i>	appoint some MD;	performance of	from the
			appoint some with,	its functions;	Code;
Executive/R	Not mentioned	ED included	Not mentioned clearly in		Couc,
				Not	
epresentative	clearly in the code;	in board;	the code;	mentioned	
director		NED		clearly in the	
		outnumber		<u>code;</u>	
		ED;			
Non-	Specific expertise	Views	Included in board; NED	Not	
executive	to board discussion;	carry	contribution in matters where	mentioned	
director	the number relates	significant	interests of ED and	clearly in the	
	to size, complexity	weight in	shareholders not coincide;	code;	
	and nature of	taking board	bring independent and biased	eouc,	
		_			
	activity;	decision;	judgement to resolutions		
		independent;	proposed by MD;		
		Member of			
		executive			
		committee;			
Independent	Not mentioned	Member of	Involved in board	Not	
director	clearly in the code;	executive	discussion, join in	mentioned	
		committee;	committee;	clearly in the	
		,	Tommittee,	code;	
CFO	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
CIO					
	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Managemen	Not mentioned	<u>Not</u>	Some Directors perform	Create	
t team (Senior	clearly in the code;	mentioned	MGT functions;	maximum value	
Administration		clearly in the		for	
)		code;		shareholders;	
Supervisory	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
for the board			the code;	mentioned	
	clearly in the code:	mentioned			
Tor the bourd	clearly in the code;	mentioned clearly in the	the code,	clearly in the	

		code;		code;	
Supervisory for the managers	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Board supervise general performance of the firm;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	As underst ood from the code;
Internal control	Processes to monitor the efficiency of firm operation;	Made up exclusively of independent directors;	Set an internal control committee; BD responsible for IC;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Internal or statutory audit	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	The person run IC also the head of IA;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	As underst ood from the code;
External audit	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	IC committee, together with EA, access the accounting standard appropriateness;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Disclosure and transparency	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	MD ensure the correct handling of confidential information;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Shareholder s and Minority Stockholder Accountabili	Directors encourage participation of shareholders in GM; Not mentioned	All directors attend GM;	Board report to shareholders at GM; Not mentioned clearly in	Not mentioned clearly in the code; Not	
Loodombin	clearly in the code;	mentioned clearly in the code;	the code;	mentioned clearly in the code;	Δ.α.
Leadership	Strong executive leadership; Not mentioned	Through the commitment of each director; Not	Performed by board; Not mentioned clearly in	Not mentioned clearly in the code; Not	As underst ood from the code;
	clearly in the code;	mentioned clearly in the code;	the code;	mentioned clearly in the code;	
3 rd parties and conflicts of interests		Assess potential conflicts b.t interests of firm and of controlling shareholders;	Special reference to situations of conflicts of interest;		
Code of ethics (conduct)	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Group and subsidiaries	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
CG Code itself	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	Not mentioned clearly in the code;	
Managemen	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	<u>Not</u>	

t system	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Note	The underlined pa	rt is describing so	ome more works needed to be do	ne for relevant subj	jects and
			parties.		

Exhibit 4 - Evaluation of Austrian 2012 CG Principles

Subjects or	Main quality	Sub	Responsibilities	Objectives	Note
parties	factors	quality			
Audit	Chairnaraan not a	factors	Select an auditor for F.S;	Not	
committee	Chairperson not a MGT member in	1 person with practical	proposal for distribution of	Not mentioned	
Committee	past 3 years; at least	experience in	proposar for distribution of profits;	clearly in the	
	2 meetings per year;	finance and	pronts,	code;	
	2 meetings per year,	accounting;		couc,	
Nomination	Professional	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
committee	qualification and a	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
Committee	balanced	clearly in the	the code,	clearly in the	
	composition of	code;		code;	
	expert knowledge;	couc,		<u>code,</u>	
Compensati	At least 1 member	Chairperso	Remuneration of MGT board	Not	
on,	with remuneration	n is SB	based on work scope, duty	mentioned	
Remuneration	policy experience;	chairperson;	and personal performance;	clearly in the	
committee	ропсу спрепенес,	may use	and personal performance,	code;	
		services of a		<u> </u>	
		consultant;			
CEO	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
/President/MD	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
,		clearly in the	,	clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
The Chair	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
CEO and	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
The Chair	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
relationship		clearly in the		clearly in the	
_		code;		code;	
Corporate	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
Secretary	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Compliance	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	<u>Not</u>	
officer	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		<u>code;</u>		<u>code;</u>	
Board of	Take into account	Called mgt	Agree strategic direction	<u>Not</u>	
Directors	interests of	board; SB	with SB;	mentioned	
	shareholders,	members		clearly in the	
	employee;	cannot be		<u>code;</u>	
		MGT board			
		member;			
Executive/R	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
epresentative	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
director		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Non-	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
executive	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	

director		clearly in the		clearly in the	
director		code;		code;	
Independent	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
director	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
CFO	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Managemen	Not mentioned	provide SB	A balanced analysis of	Not	
t team (Senior	clearly in the code;	with	risks and opportunities in a	mentioned	
Administration		comprehensiv	takeover bid;	clearly in the	
)		e information		code;	
		on risks and			
		bus.			
		development			
		(confidentialit			
		y);			
Supervisory	Open discussion	New	A balanced analysis of	Not	
for the board	b.t mgt board (MB)	candidate	risks and opportunities in a	mentioned	
(SB)	and SB; MB report	disclosed	takeover bid; be informed	clearly in the	
	corruption to SB	before GM;	cases with impact on profit or	<u>code;</u>	
	once a year;	docs prepared	liquidity;		
		1 week before			
Supervisory	Not mentioned	meeting; Not	Acts by SB;	Not	
for the	clearly in the code;	mentioned	Acts by SB,	mentioned	
	clearly in the code,	clearly in the		clearly in the	
managers		code;		code;	
Internal	Not mentioned	Not	AC monitor the	Not	
control	clearly in the code;	mentioned	effectiveness of the	mentioned	
C 0111101	erearry in the esact,	clearly in the	company-wide IC system;	clearly in the	
		code;	company wide it system,	code;	
Internal or	Not mentioned	Once a	Can be conducted by a	Not	
statutory audit	clearly in the code;	year, report	separate staff unit;	mentioned	
_	, and the second	on audit plan;	-	clearly in the	
				code;	
External	AC exchange	Independe	Any additional business	Not	
audit	views with EA at	nt;	relationships to be audited;	mentioned	
	meeting w/o		send audit report to SB;	clearly in the	
	presence of MGT			<u>code;</u>	
	board members;				
Disclosure	Not mentioned	Appoint a	Disclose any new facts that	Not	
and	clearly in the code;	contact	it communicates to financial	mentioned	
transparency		person for	analysts to shareholders;	clearly in the	
		investor		<u>code;</u>	
Shareholder	Trantad a 11-	relations; Resolution	When empirity - CD CM	Not	
	Treated equally under the same		When appointing SB GM consider balance of structure	Not mentioned	
s and Minority Stockholder	conditions;	passed at Gm disclosed on	and business;	clearly in the	
Stockholder	conditions;	website;	and business;	code;	
Accountabili	Not mentioned	Not	Firm prepare consolidated	Not	
	clearly in the code;	mentioned	F.S in accordance with IFRS;	mentioned	
ty	cicarry in the code,	clearly in the	IAS34;	clearly in the	
		code;	п.ю.эт,	code;	
Leadership	Not mentioned	SB meets	Act by SB;	Not	
Leadership	clearly in the code;	at least 4	100 03 00,	mentioned	
	<u> </u>	times a year;		clearly in the	
		SB decides		code;	
[İ.		l .	z	

		the approval of F.S;			
Employee	Not mentioned	Employee'	Employee's	Not	
	clearly in the code;	S	representatives exercise	mentioned	
		representative	functions on honorary basis;	clearly in the	
		s Have rights	•	code;	
		to receive			
		information;			
3 rd parties	If SB member	MGT	MGT board take decisions	Not	
and conflicts	find him/herself a	board	w/o being influenced by	mentioned	
of interests	conflict of interest,	member	controlling shareholders;	clearly in the	
	disclose to SB	inform SB	_	code;	
	chairperson;	transactions			
	Chairperson disclose	of group			
	to his/her deputy;	companies			
		and conflicts;			
Code of	Not mentioned	Not	Not mentioned clearly in	Not	
ethics	clearly in the code;	mentioned	the code;	mentioned	
(conduct)		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
Group and	Not mentioned	<u>Not</u>	Not mentioned clearly in	<u>Not</u>	
subsidiaries	clearly in the code;	<u>mentioned</u>	the code;	<u>mentioned</u>	
		clearly in the		clearly in the	
		code;		code;	
CG Code	Not require	Reviewed	Set a system of MGT and	Increase	
itself	disclose business	once a year;	control of companies;	transparency for	
	secrets;			all stakeholders;	
Managemen	Describe in mgt	CG report	The firm has a CG report	Not	
t system	report the most	mentions	which mentions the	mentioned	
	important features	measures	composition and working	clearly in the	
	of IC and RM;	taken to	procedure of MGT board;	code;	
		promote			
		women to			
		MGT board			
		and SB, top			
		MGT			
NT .	TD1 1 1' 1	positions;	1 11.1.1	C 1 (1)	. 1
Note	The underlined part is describing some more works needed to be done for relevant subjects and				
			parties.		

 $Table \ 1-A \ so\text{-called Limited Western European CG representative standards}$

Table 1 – A so-called Limited Western European CG representative standards			
Subjects or parties	Main quality factors	Sub quality factors	
Audit committee	AC discuss significant financial	New AC members provided with IC,	
	reporting issues with EA and executive	RM, operational, financial, accounting	
	MGT; meet at least 4 times a year;	and auditing information;	
	twice a year meet IA and EA to discuss		
	weakness of IC;		
CEO and The Chair	Consider positive and negative	Chairman consults with CEO setting	
	aspects if board considered the former	board meeting agenda;	
	CEO as chairman;		
Corporate Secretary	Ensure good information flow within	Advise board on all governance	
	board, committee, executive MGT and	matters; regularly report to board;	
	NEDs;		
Compliance officer	A compliance committee installed,	Can be company lawyer;	
	or a high level compliance officer		
	appointed;		
Board of Directors	No individual or group dominate	Ensure accuracy and completeness of	
	board's decision making; organizing	CG charter and CG statement;	
	meetings using internet, video or		
	telephone;		
Independent director	Independency of judgement and	Not an executive member of board;	
	objectivity in making board decisions;		
Supervisory board to the	SB ensures individuals involved in	SB ensures MGT take follow-up	
Management	daily MGT are of professional,	actions on supervisory	
	integrity and social and moral;	recommendations;	
Supervisory to the Board of	Meet regularly and retain effective	Committee of SB comprises a	
Directors	control over the firm	majority of unrelated supervisory	
		directors; the engagement of an outside	
T . 1 1	A.C. 1. CTC. (1.	adviser;	
Internal control	A framework of IC set by executive	Main risks identified and managed;	
Tu	MGT approved by board;	A.C	
Internal audit	AC review IA work program;	AC recommends	
Enternal andia	Einid- AC onfetermen	appointment/removal head of IA Comment on the true and fair view of	
External audit	Examine with AC safety measures		
	taken to decrease risks;	the firm assets and liabilities, financial	
Disclosure and	SB ensures timely and accurate	positions; report AC key matters of FS; Executive MGT prepare firm	
transparency	disclosure;	disclosure of F.S;	
Shareholders	Controlling shareholders can appoint	Controlling shareholders can appoint	
Shareholders	representatives to the board; Board	representatives to the board;	
	ensures its obligations to shareholders	representatives to the board,	
	are met;		
The corporation as a whole	Has CG code with provisions and	CG charter on firm website and CG	
entity	guidelines on how the firm implements	statement on annual report;	
	the provisions of the code;	statement on united report,	
	province of the code,		

Table 2 – A relatively Good Corporate Governance standards

Subjects or parties	Main quality factors	Sub quality factors
Audit committee	Monitor the work of the auditor;	Set up by SB; monitor the preparation
	audit any consolidated F.S;	of accounting procedures;
CEO and The Chair	Included in Board; board delegates powers to chairman;	MD identify main risks;
Corporate Secretary	N/A (for further research and implementation)	N/A (for further research and implementation)
Compliance officer	N/A (for further research and implementation)	N/A (for further research and implementation)
Board of Directors (MGT	Take appropriate measures to secure	Fundamental decisions on goals or
board)	compliance with laws; chairperson of	strategy reached by all MGT board;

	MGT board discuss chairperson of SB	
	about strategy and RM;	
Independent director	Involved in board discussion, join in committee such as IC committee;	Member of executive committee;
Supervisory board to the Management	Acts by SB;	Board supervise general performance of the firm;
Supervisory to the Board of Directors	Develop internal rules of procedures for its work, establishing committees; chairperson of SB prepare meetings of SB;	Provide support to MGT board in governing the enterprise; appoint members of MGT board;
Internal control	AC monitor the effectiveness of the company-wide IC system;	IC committee made up of a majority of independent directors, access with EA the appropriateness of accounting standards adopted;
Internal audit	Once a year, report on audit plan;	Can be conducted by a separate staff unit;
External audit	IC committee, together with EA, access the accounting standard appropriateness;	AC exchange views with EA at meeting w/o presence of MGT board members;
Disclosure and transparency	MD ensure the correct handling of confidential information;	SB mandate disclosed in CG report
Shareholders	All directors attend GM;	Board report to shareholders at GM;
The corporation as a whole entity	The firm has a CG report which mentions the composition and working procedure of MGT board;	MGT board report to SB once a year measures to fight corruption at firm;

Table 3 - The Comparative Western European Corporate Governance standards

Subjects or parties	Main quality factors	Sub quality factors
Audit committee	AC discuss significant	Monitor the work of the auditor; audit any
	financial reporting issues with	consolidated F.S;
	EA and executive MGT; meet	
	at least 4 times a year; twice a	
	year meet IA and EA to	
	discuss weakness of IC;	
Nominating committee	Professional qualification	Assist board on nomination and planning of
	and a balanced composition of	CEO; majority comprises independent NEDs;
	expert knowledge;	
Numeration or	Remuneration of MGT	Meet at least twice a year;
Compensation Committee	board based on work scope,	
	duty and personal	
	performance;	
CEO and The Chair	Consider positive and	The Chair call the board meeting, set agenda;
	negative aspects if board	coordinate activities of BD; ensure all directors
	considered the former CEO as	make a knowledgeable contribution to board
	chairman;	discussion;
CFO	AC decides when CFO,	N/A (for further research and implementation)
	CEO attend meeting;	
Corporate Secretary	Board describes roles and	Ensure good information flow within board,
	tasks of secretary;	committee, executive MGT and NEDs;
Compliance officer	A compliance committee	N/A (for further research and implementation)
	installed, or a high level	
D 1 6D1	compliance officer appointed;	
Board of Directors or	Check the adequacy of	No individual or group dominate board's
Management Board	organizational and	decision making; organizing meetings using
	administrative structures set	internet, video or telephone;
	by MD;	
Independent director	Involved in board	Independency of judgement and objectivity in
	discussion, join in committee	making board decisions;

	such as IC committee;	
Supervisory board to the	SB ensures individuals	SB ensures MGT take follow-up actions on
Management	involved in daily MGT are of	supervisory recommendations;
8	professional, integrity and	1
	social and moral;	
Supervisory to the Board	Internationality of	Meet regularly and retain effective control over
of Directors	members, gender, age	the firm
	structure;	
Internal control	Set an internal control	A framework of IC set by executive MGT
	committee; Processes to	approved by board;
	monitor the efficiency of firm	,
	operation;	
Internal audit	Can be conducted by a	AC review IA work program; Once a year,
	separate staff unit;	report on audit plan;
External audit	IC committee, together with	Comment on the true and fair view of the firm
	EA, access the accounting	assets and liabilities, financial positions; report
	standard appropriateness;	AC key matters of FS;
Disclosure and	MD ensure the correct	Executive MGT prepare firm disclosure of F.S;
transparency	handling of confidential	
	information;	
Shareholders	All directors attend GM;	Controlling shareholders can appoint
		representatives to the board; Board ensures its
		obligations to shareholders are met;
Stakeholders	CG Code designed to	MGT board responsible for communication
	increase transparency for all	tasks affecting firm image perceived by
	stakeholders;	stakeholders;
Accountability	Firm prepare consolidated	Executive MGT accountable to board for the
	F.S in accordance with IFRS;	discharge of its duties;
Leadership	Chairman responsible for	Board provides entrepreneur leadership; strong
	leadership of board;	executive leadership;
Employee	Employee's representatives	At GM, directors answer questions which do
	have rights to receive	not prejudice firm, employee, shareholders;
ard	information;	
3 rd parties and conflicts of	Assess potential conflicts	Each board member avoid direct and indirect
interests	b.t interests of firm and of	conflicts of interest;
The second of the least	controlling shareholders;	CC 1
The corporation as a whole	Fundamental decisions on	CG charter on firm website and CG statement
entity	goals or strategy reached by	on annual report;
The Code	all MGT board;	Engure leadership integrity and transperses
The Code	Help determines firm goals; one-tier board model; has	Ensure leadership, integrity and transparency
	principles, provisions and	in the decision making process;
	guidelines;	
	guidelines;	

(Note: source are based on corporate governance standards of group <D.1> and <D.2> and the appraisal of these standards)